

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/658,395	09/08/2000	James Bryer	257/040 P00-0022	3427	
34055	7590 06/24/2003				
PERKINS COIE LLP			EXAMINER		
	CE BOX 1208		FLARINI	EL ARINI, ZEINAB	
SEATTLE, V	WA 98111-1208		ED Mari, EERA		
		. ,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1746		
			DATE MAILED: 06/24/2003	M	
				/ ¥ ^y	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No	Applicant(s)			
1		09/658,395	BRYER, JAMES			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Zeinab E. EL-Ar	ni 1746			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication			ldress		
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. FR 1.136(a). In no event, how on. a reply within the statutory miperiod will apply and will expire statute, cause the application to the statute.	ever, may a reply be timely filed nimum of thirty (30) days will be considered time SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cooperate ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.		
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>10 June 2003</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)	This action is non-f	nal.			
3) 🗌 Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice upon of Claims			ne merits is		
4) 🛛	Claim(s) <u>1,3,6,9-16 and 21-33</u> is/are pen	ding in the application				
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	thdrawn from consider	ation.			
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3,6 and 9-16</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>21-25, 28, 30, 32 and 33</u> is/are rejected.					
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>26,27,29 and 31</u> is/are objected	to.				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election require	ment.			
Application	on Papers	•				
9) 🗌 7	he specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
10)□ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) object	ed to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be he	d in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)∐ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a)☐ approv	ed b) disapproved by the Examin	er.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this Office ac	tion.			
12)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner.	•			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		•			
13) 🗌	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been rece	ived.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been rece	ived in Application No			
	 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation ee the attached detailed Office action for 	al Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).	Stage		
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	mestic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).		
	☐ The translation of the foreign languag cknowledgment is made of a claim for do					
Attachment((s)					
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N		Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PT Other:			
S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev		ice Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 1	7		

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935): Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/14/03 has been entered.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: On page 26, lines 10-11, "wall 456-----, as shown in Figs. 5 and 16" has been cited, however Fig. 5 does not include "456". It is suggested that "5" be changed to read "15". At line 17, "450" has been disclosed, however Fig. 5 does not include "450". A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office

Application/Control Number: 09/658,395 Page 3

Art Unit: 1746

action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1, 3, 6, and 9-16 are allowed.
- 4. Claims 26- 27, 29, and 31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 21-23, 28, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shortes et al. (4,027,686).
- 7. Shortes et al. teach a method and apparatus for cleaning the surface of a semiconductor material through the use of liquid spray, wherein the liquid spray is delivered at an angle to the exposed surface of a rotating semiconductor material. The apparatus comprises a housing, an upstanding pedestal having a slice- supporting surface on which the slice of

Application/Control Number: 09/658,395

Art Unit: 1746

semiconductor material to be cleaned is disposed. The pedestal is mounted within the housing, which includes a motor for imparting rotation to the pedestal. The apparatus also includes a spray nozzle for spraying a cleaning liquid onto the exposed surface of the slice. The reference also teaches the spray means includes more than one angle spray nozzle. See col. 7, lines 26-50,the abstract, Figs. 4, and 6-7, col. 9, lines 10-15, and the claims.

Page 4

- 8. Shortes et al. do not teach cleaning boxes as claimed.
- 9. It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to use the method and apparatus taught by Shortes et al. for cleaning boxes, because Shortes et al. disclose that the technique disclosed herein in general is applicable in cleaning the surface of any article where even minutely- sized particles retained thereon as debris would be detrimental. See col. 9, lines 10-15.
- 10. Claims 21-25, 28, 30, and 32-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bryer et al. (6,432,214) in combination with Shortes et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/658,395

Art Unit: 1746

Bryer et al. teach a method and apparatus for cleaning wafer carriers. The apparatus comprises a rotor rotatably mounted within a chamber, and nozzles within the chamber to spray cleaning liquid on the rotor. See the abstract, col. 2, lines 20-24, col. 3, lines 35-57, col. 5, lines 30-59. Bryer et al. teach all limitation with the exception of the spray means includes an angle spray nozzles.

Shortes et al. as discussed supra teach using angle spray nozzles for cleaning any article.

It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to use the angle spray nozzles taught by Shortes et al. in the system taught by Bryer et al. to enhance and improve the cleaning process. This is also because both references are from the same technical endeavor which cleaning the substrate by using liquid spray.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zeinab E. EL-Arini whose telephone number is (703) 308-3320. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 09/658,395 Page 6

Art Unit: 1746

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on (703) 308-4333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9310 for regular communications and (703)872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Zeinab E. EL-Arini Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746

ZEE June 19, 2003